

Neuroscience and the Law

Prof. Peter Huang & Prof. Dan Kahan
Yale Law School
Fall 2009

Session 4—Anticipating Neuroscience and Law

Readings (indexed to pagination of course readings):

1. Joshua J. Knabb, Robert K. Welsh, Joseph G. Ziebell & Kevin S. Reimer, Neuroscience, moral reasoning, and the law, 27 *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 219-236 (2009).....206
2. Owen D. Jones at al., *A Guide for the Perplexed*, Stan. Tech. L. Rev. (forthcoming)224
3. Stacey A. Tovino, Functional Neuroimaging and the Law: Trends and Directions for Future Scholarship, 7 *Am. J. Bioethics* 44 (2007)268
4. Michael S. Pardo & Dennis Patterson, Philosophical Foundations of Law and Neuroscience, *Ill. L. Rev.* (forthcoming 2010).....281
5. Jeffrey Rosen, *The Brain on the Stand*, NY Times Mar. 11, 2007343

(Some) *Questions*:

Does it seem plausible that the advent and perfection of neuroscience technology, particularly brain imaging, will have a major impact on the law? Why or why not? To what extent do the emerging answers to this question seem based on the quality of the science and its pertinence to the law's aims? To what extent on other cultural or moral factors?